



# Major Acquisition Issues

**The Honorable Jacques S. Gansler\***

*Professor and Roger C. Lipitz Chair*

*Director, Center for Public Policy & Private Enterprise*

*School of Public Policy*

*University of Maryland*

May 11, 2011

N.P.S.

\*Dr. Gansler was the Undersecretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology and Logistics) from 1997-2001.

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE <b>MAY 2011</b>		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED <b>00-00-2011 to 00-00-2011</b>	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE <b>Major Acquisition Issues</b>				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) <b>University of Maryland,School of Public Policy,College Park,MD,20742</b>				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT <b>Approved for public release; distribution unlimited</b>					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES <b>Presented at the Naval Postgraduate School's 8th Annual Acquisition Research Symposium, 10-12 May 2011, Seaside, CA. U.S. Government or Federal Rights License</b>					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT <b>Same as Report (SAR)</b>	18. NUMBER OF PAGES <b>8</b>	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT <b>unclassified</b>	b. ABSTRACT <b>unclassified</b>	c. THIS PAGE <b>unclassified</b>			



# Major Acquisition Issues

Objectives		Areas for Solutions
1) Meeting 21 <sup>st</sup> Century Security Needs		<b>Shifting Resources</b> (dollars, forces, organizations, etc.)
2) Achieving “World Class” Life-cycle Acquisition of Goods and Services		<b>Recognize the “Value” of the Acquisition Workforce to the DoD Mission</b>
3) Acquiring all of the right things, with fewer dollars		<b>An Overall Focus on Costs</b> (along with performance)
4) Achieving Rapid Response to Urgent Requirements		<b>Process Changes</b> (from Requirements through training and fielding)
5) Staying Ahead		<b>“Effective” Research</b> (includes “disruptive”)



## **1) Shifting Resources to Meet 21<sup>st</sup> Century Security Needs**

- ➔ Designing, testing, operating, and achieving the “force multiplier,” net-centric “systems-of-systems” (vs. self-sufficient, “platform-centric”)
- ➔ Designing, testing, operating, and achieving the “force multiplier” and “soft power” advantages of an effective coalition of forces
- ➔ Investing in equipment/systems for “likely” 21<sup>st</sup> century needs (e.g. for “war among the people,” and widespread weapons proliferation)—ISR, “land warrior,” missile defense, unmanned systems, etc. (always considering cyber security)
- ➔ Recognizing the reality of operating with a “mixed force” (in Afghanistan, over 50% contractors) and a “multiagency force” (e.g. with State Department, AID, etc.); then optimizing organizations, budgets, plans, etc.
- ➔ Shifting resources, organizations, personnel, etc. in recognition of the importance of the acquisition of services (in 2009, 57% of acquisition dollars)



## **2) Recognize the “value” of the acquisition workforce to achieving the DoD mission (effectively and affordably)**

- ➔ To achieve “world class” acquisition (life cycle) results requires a government acquisition workforce that is experienced, smart and has adequate authority (for all inherently-governmental jobs)
- ➔ With the dramatic drawdown in the first half of the '90s; then a congressionally-mandated 25% additional cut in 1996; then a further undervaluing after 9/11/01 (as the acquisition dollars exploded, but the G.O.s and S.E.S.s with acquisition experience dramatically declined)

As a result, there is an urgent need for hiring, educating, training, promoting government (military and civilian) people in the inherently-governmental acquisition positions (e.g. contracting, program management, decision-making, oversight, etc.).

- ➔ Initial steps have begun (congressional authority, funding, Army Contracting Agency, “Highly-Qualified Experts,” Senator Collins’ and Representative Connelly’s bill, etc.)



### **3) Acquiring all of the right things with fewer dollars, by focusing on costs (along with performance) throughout**

- ➔ Buy affordable systems, by making “should cost” a “military requirement”—so that we design and produce all acquired goods and services to be affordable, in the quantities required
- ➔ Modernize DoD Logistics—the highest acquisition cost area, and the most critical for readiness (yet not world-class!)
- ➔ Use competition (or the option of it) effectively, as an incentive for achieving “best value” (i.e. lower risk, higher performance, at lower costs—not “Low Bid, Technically Acceptable”); fully utilizing “competitive dual-sourcing” (e.g. 2<sup>nd</sup> engine on F-35)
- ➔ Take full advantage of high-tech and high-quality goods and services in the commercial sector—which requires removing the current legislative and regulatory “barriers” (e.g. specialized C.A.S.; export controls; I.P. requirements; prime-contractor flow-downs; etc.)
- ➔ Maximize the benefits of “Globalization” (while minimizing the potential vulnerabilities)—requires changes in export and import laws



## 4) Acquisition Process Changes to Achieve Rapid Response to Urgent Needs

---

- ➔ Combat Commanders have authority to direct resources (dollars, acquisition authority, personnel, organizations, etc.) to meet their urgent needs
- ➔ Rapid approval authorities for each step (from “requirements” through budgeting and contracting)
- ➔ Always starting with proven technology (for “Block I”), and continuing development of future “blocks” (as technology, threats, and mission needs change)—fully utilizing “spiral development”
- ➔ Providing full visibility into what is “available”—across DoD, commercially, and globally ( the equivalent of the U.K.’s new “horizon scanning”)



## **To maintain “Technological Superiority” (the U.S. strategy for the past five decades) we must maintain a vigorous Research program**

- ➡ In the past, whenever budgets decline, the first areas cut are: travel, training, and research
- ➡ Additionally, the research areas supported are “incremental;” while the high payoff, “disruptive technology” areas are strongly resisted
- ➡ The nation can not maintain its strong national security posture in the future if we “eat our seed corn” (i.e. pay for current needs and neglect the future, through underfunding research—especially, in such areas as: SBIR; IR&D; and ManTech)

### **Examples of actions include:**

- Refocus DARPA on high-risk, high-payoff technologies
- Encourage collaboration between agencies to accomplish research goals
- Develop strategies to maintain “design capability” for low volume system (e.g. competitive, next-generation prototypes)
- Adequately fund fundamental research





# Major Acquisition Issues

Objectives		Areas for Solutions
1) Meeting 21 <sup>st</sup> Century Security Needs	➡	<b>Shifting Resources</b> (dollars, forces, organizations, etc.)
2) Achieving “World Class” Life-cycle Acquisition of Goods and Services	➡	<b>Recognize the “Value” of the Acquisition Workforce to the DoD Mission</b>
3) Acquiring all of the right things, with fewer dollars	➡	<b>An Overall Focus on Costs</b> (along with performance)
4) Achieving Rapid Response to Urgent Requirements	➡	<b>Process Changes</b> (from Requirements through training and fielding)
5) Staying Ahead	➡	<b>“Effective” Research</b> (includes “disruptive”)